

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/672,094             | LILLE, JEFFREY S.   |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | Craig A. Renner        | 2627                |  |

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

 (1) Craig A. Renner, Primary Examiner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Ronald B. Feece, Attorney For Applicant.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 November 2006
**Time:** N/A
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Includes the rejection(s) of claim 32.*

Claims discussed:

*Includes claims 10, 18-19 and 21-32.*


Prior art documents discussed:

*Includes Cole et al. (US 5,452,164).*
**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: By examiner's amendment, minor clarifying language was incorporated into the title and claims 10, 18-19 and 32, non-elected claims 21-31 were canceled without prejudice or disclaimer, and the subject matter of claim 2 was incorporated into independent claim 32 in order to define over the prior art, supra.



**CRAIG A. RENNER**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**